

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,946 12/09/2003		Ralph McCall	PUS-M012-001	2718
35246	7590 05/16/2006		EXAMINER	
1.14	& ASSOCIES SARL		TIMBLIN, F	OBERT M
CASE POSTA GENEVA,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SWITZERLAND			2167	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/
1.
۲
•

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/729,946	MCCALL, RALPH	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Robert M. Timblin	2167	
T Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication app eply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHICHE - Extension after SIX ( - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAS OF IT IS A STATE OF THE MAILING DAS OF IT IS A STATE OF THE MAILING DAS OF IT IS A STATE O	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 De</u>	ecember 2003.		
2a) <u></u> Th	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3) <u></u> Sir	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
clo	sed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition	of Claims			
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)☑ Cla 7)□ Cla	nim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.  Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrave  nim(s) is/are allowed.  nim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.  nim(s) is/are objected to.  nim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application	Papers			
10)⊠ The App Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on <u>09 December 2003</u> is/a plicant may not request that any objection to the placement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected or by $\square$ objected arguing $\square$ be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing $\square$ is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action corresponds to application 10/729,946 filed 12/9/2003.

Claims 1-7 have been examined and are pending prosecution.

### Claim Objections

Claims 1,2,4, and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities: these claims lack antecedent basis for the phrase "the contact mechanism". For example, line 13 states "the contact mechanism" whereas no prior definition was made for this limitation. Herein, this limitation will be understood as the contact aid by the examiner.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Rasmussen et al.** ('Rasmussen') (US 2002/0126990 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/729,946

**Art Unit: 2167** 

With respect to claim 6, **Rasmussen** teaches A method of determining points of insertion of E-interaction points in a media, the method comprised of the steps of:

'screening the media in front of at least one test subject instructed to identify points of interest in the media' as the author tests hot spots and finds a region for the hot spots (0044). The hotspots may be tailored to an individuals interests (0032).

'soliciting inputs of the at least one test subject in association with points of interest' as making hot spots available to viewers in a demographic segment (0034).

'analyzing inputs to identify points of interest suitable for E-interaction points' (0032-0033).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasmussen as applied to claim 6 above and in view of **Martin et al.** ('Martin') 2002/0120519 A1.

With respect to claims 1, 3 and 4, Rasmussen teaches A system for developing customer relationships with readers/viewers of a media for relating a story having a title,

Application/Control Number: 10/729,946

Art Unit: 2167

a body, an end, and dramatically created points of interest interspersed throughout the body, wherein the system comprises:

'the media' (abstract).

'a media storage device, the media being stored therein' (0067).

'the media has at least one contact aid encoded in the body of the story, proximate a point of interest' (0025, 0034, and figures 1-2).

'upon a user selection, the contact aid aids in establishing a channel of communication from which the reader/viewer can interact with the customer relationship management module (CRMM) regarding the point of interest' (0034).

'serving up appropriate portions of supplemental data to the reader/viewer' (0073 and figure 8).

'the contact mechanism is associated with an author or a character of the story' (0044 and figure 3).

Rasmussen fails to teach a customer relationship management module (CRMM) and the CRMM capturing information about the reader/viewer and analyzing the captured information.

Martin, however, teaches 'a customer relationship management module (CRMM)' (0169) to establish and maintain relationships with the customers (0174).

'the CRMM capturing information about the reader/viewer and analyzing the captured information' (0166) to ensure that a consumer's preferences are correlated with the services/products being acquired (0009).

Application/Control Number: 10/729,946

**Art Unit: 2167** 

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references because the teachings of Martin would have provided Rasmussen's system with maintaining relationships with the customers and furthermore ensuring a consumer's preferences are correlated with the services/products being acquired.

With respect to claims 2 and 5, Rasmussen teaches 'the contact mechanism is selected from a group of contact mechanisms, including a character-specific postal address, telephone number, email, SMS, chat room address, IP address, web page address, activatable mailto hyperlink and hypertext link to a URL. (0034).

With respect to claim 7, the limitations of this claim are essentially similar to claims 1, 3, and 4 above and therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in those claims. Furthermore, Rasmussen fails to teach configuring a Customer Relations Management module so as to interact with an anticipated reader/viewer in response to identified needs/interests so as to improve sales of the products.

**Martin**, however, teaches this limitation as correlating user needs with providers' goods and services (abstract and 0008) to establishing and maintaining relationships with a retailer's customers (0174).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references Art Unit: 2167

because this teaching of Martin would have provided Rasmussen's system with the benefit of establishing and maintaining relationships with a retailer's customers (0174). This benefit would lead to customer loyalty and thus an improvement in sales.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 20020133817 A1.

US 20030149725 A1.

US 20030059758 A1.

US 20020120935 A1.

US 6615408 B1.

US 6915270 B1.

US 5708845 A.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Timblin whose telephone number is 571-272-5627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Wong

**Primary Examiner** 

RMT 5/09/2006 Robert M. Timblin

Patent Examiner AU 2167